

# UofT AI Ethics Hackathon: Judging Rubric

Group: \_\_\_\_\_

Score: /30

	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Insufficient (1)
<b>Problem and Impact</b>	The problem is very well-defined, offers high impact, and the need for a solution is fully justified.	The problem is strong with moderate impact, and the need for a solution is justified.	The problem is reasonable, and the need for a solution is somewhat justified.	The problem is reasonable with little impact or justification.	Unclear problem statement with no impact
<b>Solution Idea and Feasibility</b>	Regardless of problem novelty, the solution is innovative, well developed, and highly feasible in real world.	The solution is creative and feasible, with a well-structured plan. Most aspects are realistic to implement in real world.	The solution is good but may have some feasibility concerns. Some aspects may be hard to implement.	The solution lacks originality or faces major feasibility challenges, may hinder implementation.	The solution idea is unclear, unrealistic, or unfeasible.
<b>Team Organization</b>	Team roles are clearly defined, collaboration is strong, and all members contribute meaningfully.	Team roles are well-defined, and collaboration is good with some cross-functional efforts.	Team roles are assigned, but collaboration is uneven or less structured.	Team roles are unclear, and collaboration is limited or ineffective.	No clear team organization or collaboration is present.
<b>Depth of Analysis</b>	Thorough research and understanding of AI ethics, stakeholders, and ethical considerations. Strong use of resources, proficiently incorporating at least <b>2</b> iterations of the AI ethical matrix.	Good research and understanding of AI ethics and stakeholders. Strong use of resources, sufficiently incorporating at least <b>2</b> iterations of the AI ethical matrix.	Basic research into AI ethics and understanding stakeholders, though some areas could be explored further, <b>(1)</b> iteration of the AI ethical matrix is completed.	Some aspects of AI ethics and stakeholders are mentioned, with <b>(1)</b> iteration of the AI ethical matrix is attempted.	Minimal research into AI ethics, with superficial stakeholder analysis.
<b>Prototype</b>	Fully functional demo, clearly demonstrates features and AI contributions.	Functional demo with minor issues, shows AI contributions.	Partially functional demo, but lacks clarity in demonstrating AI contributions.	Demo with significant issues, core features not well demonstrated.	Non-functional or missing demo
<b>Presentation and communication</b>	Presentation is clear, engaging, and effectively conveys the team's values, goals, and impact.	Presentation is clear, and structured, with minor issues in clarity and conveying team's ideas.	Presentation conveys the project but lacks polish, engagement, or clarity in certain areas.	Presentation is disorganized, with gaps in explaining the project and impact.	Presentation is unclear, with gaps in explaining the project and impact.

**Written Feedback (2 points):**